

The Malheur County Planning Commission Meeting was held remotely, via GoToMeeting, and in person, on July 27th 2023. Kathy Clarich called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m.

PLANNING COMMISSIONERS PRESENT

Kathy Clarich
John Faw
Linda Simmons
Teresa Ballard
Carol Skerjanec
Robert Quick
Clark Forsyth

PLANNING DEPARTMENT and STAFF MEMBERS:

Eric Evans, Planning Director
Tatiana Burgess, Planning Manager
Julie Bromley, Planning Clerk
Stephanie Williams, County Counsel
Adele Schaffeld, Building Official

NEW BUSINESS

Applicant: Brian Tseng
1100 El Rojo Grande
Winnemucca, NV 89445

Owner: Brian Tseng
1100 El Rojo Grande
Winnemucca, NV 89445

Conditional Use Permit application for an RV Park and Commercial Activity in a Rural Service Center zone.

Kathy Clarich – So, I am going to read this into the minutes. Now's the time to hear the request for a Conditional Use Permit for an RV Park and commercial activity in a Rural Service Center Zone, Planning Department file# 2023-06-011.

1. There is a general time limit for testimony of 5 minutes. The applicant's initial presentation will be 20 minutes, with a rebuttal of 10 minutes.
2. All testimony and questions shall be directed to or through the chair. Testimony and questions should not be directed to staff or directly to witnesses.
3. Do any members of the County Planning Commission need to abstain?
If so, state the reason.

4. Do any members of the County Planning Commission have any conflicts to disclose?
If so, state the conflict.

5. Do any members of the County Planning Commission have any bias to disclose?
If so, state bias.

6. Do any members of the County Planning Commission have any ex parte communications, including any site visits, to disclose?

Carol Skerjanec didn't do a site visit, but looked up an aerial view and pictures of the White Horse. Kathy Clarich has been down there a few years back.

7. Does anyone object to any of the members of the Malheur County Planning Commission hearing this application?

8. Does anyone challenge the County Planning Commission's jurisdiction to hear these matters?

9. Land use statements for the record: Oregon land use law requires several items be read into the record at the beginning of this hearing. I will now read these items:

The applicable substantive criteria upon which the application will be decided are found in Oregon State laws and rules as well as local code provisions, which are specifically set out in the Staff Report and include:

The Malheur County Code:

MCC 6-6-8-7	Conditional Use Criteria for Recreational Vehicle Parks
MCC 6-3F-5	Performance standards for a Rural Service Center Zone
MCC 6-3G-4	Performance standards for a Commercial Zone

Testimony, arguments, and evidence presented must be directed toward these approval criteria or other criteria in state law, the Malheur County Comprehensive Plan or the Malheur County Code that the speaker believes to apply to the decision.

The failure of anyone to raise an issue accompanied by statements or evidence sufficient to afford the decision makers and the parties the opportunity to respond to the issue will preclude appeal on that issue.

An issue that may be the basis of an appeal must be raised no later than the close of the record. Such issues must be raised and accompanied by statements or evidence sufficient to afford the decision makers and the parties an adequate opportunity to respond to each issue.

The failure of the applicant to raise constitutional or other issues relating to the proposed conditions of approval with sufficient specificity to allow the decision makers to respond to the issue precludes an appeal or an action for damages to circuit court.

10. Order of Proceeding. The applicant will be allocated up to 20 minutes for initial applicant presentation. The applicant may also present up to 10 minutes for final rebuttal. All others wishing to testify will be given 5 minutes each.

Kathy Clarich – So, we'll start with Tatiana, the staff report?

Preliminary Staff Report: Read by Planning Manager, Tatiana Burgess.

Tatiana Burgess – Yes. So, this the Planning Department file #2023-06-011 for a Conditional Use Permit for commercial activity in a Rural Service Center zone and an RV park in a Rural Service Center zone. The applicant and owner of the record is Brian Tseng at 1100 El Rojo Grande in Winnemucca Nevada. The property is identified as tax lot 1300, on Assessor's Map 41S43E18D; Malheur County Reference #12815. The property is zoned Rural Service Center. It is a total of 5.35 acres and it is currently all vacant, but there has been development historically on this property, so it has been the site of the White Horse Inn. The properties nearby are engaged in range use and to the east there is the Rural Service Center, so the locality of McDermitt and on the Nevada side – is the town of McDermitt as well. The access will be provided via Cordero Mine Road. Water and sewer will be provided by the City of McDermitt. And there... although the property currently does not have fire coverage, but there has been an agreement with the City of McDermitt from Nevada that they will be responding to fire if necessary. There're no natural hazards that are known and no zoning history that is known. And since we've mailed out the packets we did receive... Eric was emailed yesterday, something that he wanted us to introduce into the record. I've brought copies for you guys, and it's a letter of support from Joseph Albusu. Did you want me read that into the record, or?

Kathy Clarich – Yeah, you can, it's real short, so go ahead.

Tatiana Burgess – Ok. So, this was received on July 26th at 4:24 PM, from Joe Albusu. And it says “*I am writing in regards to Ref#12815 File#2023-06-011 Tseng RV Park. I am currently in support of the development and have no issues with the planning and development as presented in the documents I received and viewed online. I will be attending meeting electronically on Thursday, July 27th at 7:30 p.m. to witness the discussion and see if any issues that I may have not thought of are presented. Thank you for your time.*” And it is signed, there's his email address, and phone number. That's all I have.

Kathy Clarich – Ok. So, Brian, do you want to tell us what you want to do? State your name, and your address and then tell us what your plan is.

Applicant's Testimony:

Brian Tseng – Absolutely, my name is Brian Tseng, and I'm here at 1100 El Rojo Grande, Winnemucca, Nevada, 89445. I acquired the property a couple of years ago, with the intention of, you know, renovating the main building. And in that time, I found out that there also used to be at least 10 RV spots on there, on the property, historically, when it was operational, at one time. And you know, we see that with, that travel corridor, you know, leaving in Winnemucca, driving from, you know, Northern Nevada through Oregon, and up into Washington. You know, it's painfully underserved infrastructure, and that was before this Thacker Pass mine development is coming in, which I understand is going to have a 40-year life expectancy, or operating expectancy, together with about, I think it was like 800 or so personnel to build the mine, which will be over the course of several years and then after that, at least 400, if not 500 people, to then do daily mining operations of the mine. And so, to us, you know, my plan is to... I can put this here on the screen. Is basically on the property, this corner building right here is the White Horse Inn building, where it's at now and

then this is the, there's a little stone jailhouse. And then, this is the rest of the property, which is basically open acreage. What we'd like to do first is, is develop RV spots, ok, to fill in, up to eventually, up to 51 RV spots. As we fill in spots now, the miners have a place to live at a reasonable price. We would then like to build tiny homes, ok, like little tiny Tesla houses in concept, if you will, if you're familiar with those or tiny hobbit houses, and up to 27 of them along... 8 here on the western edge of the property, and then, up to 19 along the northern edge, running west to east, ok. Once we have these, the RV spots filled in, and we've got the tiny house filled in, we'd like to take those monies to restore this two-story building, which was the main building, but we're not restoring to a cowboy brothel, saloon, or a casino, bar or anything like that. We'd like the first floor to be partly convenience, like laundry, and shower facilities for the RV park guest, and then we'd also like there to be a restaurant, and travel center on the first floor. And then, on the second floor, we'd like those to be hotel rooms, maybe a meeting room, and then probably the front, one of the front rooms would be an office, kind of a live-in office for a live-in manager for the facility. And then what we'd like to do is, this wall here, it faces to the east, Highway 95 runs right along here. We'd like there to be a historic mural on the side, kind of dedicated to the memory of all the pioneers and settlers, and for me, especially the Chinese who have as been pushed aside, and many were murdered in the area, unfortunately, because of racism. I would like to have something kind of, Louis L'amour style mural to pay tribute to the region. And then the stonehouse jail, we'd like to have a memorial plaque and keep this as a, kind of a little, historically, a little museum so, that people can, you know, as they walk their dogs, maybe have some grass, they can look at the museum and learn a little bit more about the history. And we'd like to pay decent paying jobs, and not have a casino and not have a bar in the restaurant. You know, we'd like to have a great full-service restaurant, and maybe serve wine and beer with dinner, if that. And we'd like to be good neighbors. You know, everyone we speak to in the area, they're like, you know, clapping us on the shoulders, and say: great! When are you guys going to clean up that eyesore? You know, we'd like to see life in the community. There's a huge housing shortage here. You're going to open a restaurant, it's music to our ears. And so, we'd like to bring revenue, life into the area, and in a way that is suitable and then consistent with the historic use of the property, and then expand on it a bit because we've got more demands coming into the area to be met. Thank you.

Kathy Clarich – Ok, anybody have any question for him?

Adele Schaffeld – I do, if it's ok.

Kathy Clarich – Go ahead.

Adele Schaffeld – Brian, this is Adele from the Building Department. Were you at the meeting we had two years ago on this project, the RV Park? We met with the Economic Development Director and...

Brian Tseng – No.

Adele Schaffeld – Ok, that's what I wanted to find out first, because we have... we had a meeting on this project two years ago with a group of people. Humboldt County had reached out to me to see if I would do all the plan review and inspections because 22% of the building, of the White Horse Hotel is in Nevada. And so.

Brian Tseng – Again, I might've had a business partner involved in that over a phone call. My understanding is that we've transferred jurisdiction everything into Malheur County's hands with the Humboldt County's agreement.

Adele Schaffeld – We haven't yet. We talked about it and you guys were going to get the IGA sent over to our legal counsel, and we've never received anything in the two years. So, that's why I was wondering if you were the one at the meeting. And he was there in person, we met in Vale with Eric – the Planning Director, and it was Greg Smith – the Economic Development Director at the time.

Brian Tseng – No, I don't remember that.

Adele Schaffeld – Ok. So, just a couple things. The White Horse Hotel is structurally unsafe. It's a very dilapidated, structurally unsafe building. The Fire Department there has reached out to our State Fire Marshal's, and I believe they're looking at posting it as a dangerous building in the status that it's in. Our staff won't enter the building, until we have a structural engineer look at the building and make sure it's safe to be in there. Of course, you have the right to remediate the dangerous building condition. So, I don't want, I don't want you to think that, that means anything's going to happen to it. It's just, you've been in there, you know it is falling in on itself. So, they post it so.

Brian Tseng – If I may, if I may. Yes and no. I don't know if the county, Malheur County is aware of it, but on the first floor, under the basement level, they, they put in, like at least \$80,000 of work to shore up the foundation.

Adele Schaffeld – So...

Brian Tseng – So I can tell you that the foundation has been shored up. That was work of the previous owner.

Adele Schaffeld – So, they didn't permit that work. We don't have building permits for that. So, for us, it's like that didn't happen. So, I just want to let you know that the conversation... The other thing is the RV park can't function without the amenities. So, the showers, the restrooms... the person that we met with before, said that the RV park was going to go in, and then the RV park was going to finance the improvements in the White Horse. But the RV park won't get an approval to operate unless the amenities are up and running. And the amenities are required by statute.

Brian Tseng – Absolutely, and, I mean, we can work around that. And even thinking if, you know, for example, it's going to take time for us raise the money through the operations and the park to get to this main building, you know, if we could get permission, we'd be happy to build a, you know, somewhere in here, probably in here, like a shower, laundry facility, restroom facility, if need be where... we're more than happy to do that.

Adele Schaffeld – Ok, I just wanted to, just wanted to make you aware of that, that you can't operate the RV park without the amenities and so... Because before, the person we talked to, that was, the amenities, at the last meeting were in the White Horse Hotel. They talked about a bed and breakfast, possibly upstairs, and the restaurant, and some other great things. But I wanted to make sure you're aware. One of the biggest issues that is really muddied the waters, is we can't put houses and dwellings in an RV park. This is not a planned unit development. I reached out to the State. You put

Tesla-tiny homes in your application... Those aren't approved to go into Oregon. We did a lot of research on, online and I reached out the State Structural Chief. They've seen nothing. You know, we keep seeing even those backyard cottages that you can buy from Amazon. None of those are approved to go in. So, if you wanted to put dwellings in, and remember, people throw "tiny homes" around a lot and they mean a million different things to different people. The RV park will be vehicles that are licensed by the Motor Vehicle Division, whether it's Oregon DMV, Idaho DMV... but RV parks are for licensed, vehicles that get licensed.

Brian Tseng – And I wanted to ask about that. Then are there any I don't know, are there any, is there such a thing as travel lodges or campgrounds? Like I see campgrounds where they have camp's building and a fixed bunk houses and lodges, if you will.

Adele Schaffeld – There is, there is options. We have a park-in camp. All the park-in camps are done by statute in Oregon. It's just you can't put dwellings in an RV park. So, and this isn't a manufactured home park, it's a... it's an RV park. But even in a manufactured home park – we can't put dwellings. So tiny homes that have, you know, bedrooms, kitchens, bathroom, all of your dwellings that... those have to go on a foundation. Otherwise, they're on a trailer that gets licensed to go down the road. Dwellings can't go in an RV park or a manufactured home park, so.

Brian Tseng – Let me ask, what's a manufactured home park then, how does that work?

Adele Schaffeld – It's a separate statute. You'd have to go through the statute. Do you have a design professional that's doing your plans based off the Oregon Statutes for RV parks?

Brian Tseng – It's as far as I know, yeah. This is Anderson Engineering and Surveying and they're Oregon-licensed.

Adele Schaffeld – Ok. A lot of people don't realize that these parks are regulated by statute and they need to make sure they're going through that. Also, when Casey was down, when that gets sent, there were some additional fire hydrants and different stuff as well that may be required. He said somebody had reached out to his office. But if you'll send those to me, I facilitate all that through the State Fire Marshal's office for the hydrants, the turnarounds, that type of thing and I can help you with that. But the, if you, for the RV park approval, we... you really need to remove the tiny homes part off the application for the RV park.

Brian Tseng – Let me ask: is there any way that we can ask for that the zoning to be changed so we could? My, my only reasons, it'd be great, it's definitely a big change a bit to our projections here. I mean, I don't know. Worst comes to worst maybe then, we could just take some of these, where we would have done these tiny homes, fine. Maybe we could convert them to RV spots and then, that way we can still, you know, bring in that revenue, and not waste that space, and provide housing.

Adele Schaffeld – I think a lot of this discussion is like at a staff-level meeting, like we had before, before it comes before the Planning Commission.

Kathy Clarich – Yeah.

Adele Schaffeld – You know, we had a working meeting two years ago on this project and I go a call

from an electrician like two months ago wanting an electrical permit. And he's not licensed in Oregon, we didn't have plans, we didn't have anything. And so, if you guys have a different type of plan, because when we met the tiny homes wasn't part of it, and the amenities weren't in the White Horse... I would actually recommend that you guys come to Vale, we set up a meeting, and we go through your plans, and we work out all these details. And then we can come back to the Planning Commission with the plan. And that way it gives us also time to get that IGA in place that we don't have yet, as well.

Brian Tseng – Let me ask you this: but then in terms of the shower, and amenities, and things like that, I would be able to then build a fixed structure, but it not a house, and no one's habitating it and then it's totally fine? So, do I understand that?

Adele Schaffeld – So, yeah. So, there's two different things. So, a dwelling is regulated out of the Oregon Residential Specialty Code. Your laundry room – would be out of the Oregon Structural Specialty Code, it's a non-residential building, nobody could dwell in it. It's just that there're two different buckets, really.

Brian Tseng – Ok, ok, I get it.

Adele Schaffeld – But my recommendation would be that you guys call us and set up a meeting, like we did before.

Brian Tseng – Sure, can you give your number for the record here?

Adele Schaffeld – 541-374-5460 is our office number. But you would want to call and set up the meeting with Planning and Zoning.

Brian Tseng – Ok.

Adele Schaffeld – With Tatiana or Julie, that you've been working with and they'll just get it scheduled in. That way we can have all the bumps worked out, so your project will go really smooth.

Brian Tseng – I appreciate that. We're kind of feeling a little under the gun here, you know. Full disclosure, I'd like to get at least, legally obviously, you know, and fully permitted and everything, all the "i"'s dotted and "t"'s crossed, to at least have one legally operating RV spot there for my own taxation purposes, by the end of the year. And so, you know, full disclosure, that's what I'm aiming for and I'm hoping that, you know, at least, the 51 RV spots and the laundry, shower facilities should easily, right now, be within the scope of what I could do. And, the other thing is, what you call it... you know, and obviously, before then, we'll resolve what to do with the spots. If we cannot have the tiny homes – that's fine. It's not a deal breaker or anything, but I'm just thinking of how I can reconfigure the design to then, maybe, go instead of 51 RV spots and 27 tiny home spots, maybe get 65 RV spots, if you will.

Adele Schaffeld – Well, but let's set up a meeting and work through some of this. I know that you're under the gun, and I appreciate that, so we can try do what we can. Some of the stuff won't change from our meeting we had two years ago, so if you had that, that list of stuff to work on, a lot of that will be the same. And then we, we can get a meeting set up.

Brian Tseng – A phone meeting or an in-person meeting, because I've never been to Vale, I've never been to Malheur...

Adele Schaffeld – Yeah, it was an in person. We met. Greg Smith actually came over from our Economic Development office. Eric was there. We went through all the requirements, and we didn't hear anything back for so long, we thought maybe the project wasn't going forward. But we're happy to help, support, do what we can with the project, but it sounds like we need to... it's changed enough, and if you weren't there, we just need to regroup, and get a better plan together moving forward.

Brian Tseng – While we've got the Planning Commission here, let's say we remove the tiny homes as you say and I've got... I add my laundry-room facilities and wash facilities in here... Does the Board have any input? You know, what do you guys think about this, on the Southern doorstep of Oregon, where there's not really much?

Kathy Clarich – We have to have it done through all the process, before it comes to us. So, you still have to meet with them and get all that stuff done, before we can even look at this anymore. But I do have a question for you. With the RV spots, the trailer spots – how are going to handle the 30-days in 60 days? They can only stay in it 30 days every 60 days.

Brian Tseng – So, this is something else I have a question on, because here in Winnemucca, Nevada, we've got plenty of miners that live in these RVs spots for months and months, and months, and years on end and no one's kicking them out and saying: 30 days, 60 days, you got to shuffle on over here. How does that work? Are you going to expect that people in a mining community are going to shuffle their parking spot every 30 days to make on ordinance happy?

Kathy Clarich – Well, there's a difference between being in town and out in the country too. And you're in rural, so there's different, different things that we have to meet in the rural area.

Brian Tseng – I just don't understand how that's supposed to work. I mean, like, I could have, I mean... I'm going to have a computer reservation online check-in and check-out availability to monitor people and make sure that they're paying their rent on-time, and you know, and on a month to month basis. And you know, if the County is going to insist that, I shuffle people in an RV spot around, RV park around, you know, every 30 to 60 days, I am happy to do that and I will. I personally, I'd like to get the County to waive any of those types of regulations, I don't see it serves anybody. I don't know how it serves a mining community to say, well, you've been here for 60 days, you got to go. I don't understand that, I don't know. I've never seen a mining community work like that.

Adele Schaffeld – Well, you know you could not do an RV park and do a manufactured home park. RVs aren't meant to be permanent dwellings. That's why they're not meant to be long-term livable. They don't have ingress/egress windows in the sleeping areas... But if you had a manufactured home park with your HUD-tagged manufactured homes – those have all the safety features in them for long-term dwelling, and then, you could rent those out. But, RVs aren't meant to be a long-term dwelling. They don't have the minimum safety standards and to be permanent housing.

Brian Tseng – So then, what do you say to all of your miners who have nowhere to live but a trailer?

Sorry, guys, no jobs? And have'em drive 300 miles each way back and forth to work? Because I mean, if it's going to come to that, it's like, I don't know. I'm trying to give you guys an RV park that's the bottom-line... (inaudible)... I'd love to switch over and get permission to be a manufactured home park. It sounds like it would make my life a lot easier.

Adele Schaffeld – Ok, we don't just switch over.

Brian Tseng – You know, the life of the miners certainly easier, but I don't know how it's tenable to have an RV park. The miners are going to be living there for years and years, but if they can only live there for 30 days at a time, that's...

Adele Schaffeld – We have other mining areas that they've dealt with this and they meet the requirements, it's not unusual. You couldn't just swap over, you'd have to reapply. And there's a different statute for building that does, that deals with manufactured home parks. And then each park would... each manufactured home would have to have its own permit with the setup and it would have to have its approved tag. You'd want to make sure that you had a manufactured home that was built in one of the states recognized by Oregon, and that's due to the snow load on the manufactured homes. Like Idaho's manufactured homes are accepted in Oregon because they meet the minimum snow load. But a manufactured home park is completely different than an RV park. You don't have to provide the amenities in a manufactured home park because each dwelling has their own amenities. So, there's a lot of different things and different spacing between an RV park and a...

Brian Tseng – At the very least, it would still have to be a combination, because...

Adele Schaffeld – You could do a combination, but it's a different application, different plans, and a different statute. But the RV park still has your limited time.

Brian Tseng – But, right. Now, from a time perspective, is it possible for me to at least start an RV park with like 3 or 4 spots that are legal with a wash building, ok? So now I've got my legal RV spot park for tax purposes and then I can work with the County?

Adele Schaffeld – I think to respect the Planning Commission's time, this would be good conversation to have at our meeting with Eric.

Kathy Clarich – Yep.

Brian Tseng – Sure. I mean, part of this is, folks, full disclosure to the Planning Commission: I'm trying to get this thing off the ground, before I run out of budget because I'm going get, yeah, I'm just... And I'm happy to take this meeting offline, but this... and again, if that's the statute of an RV park is to move people around every 30 to 60 days – I'm happy to do that, and it's totally possible. I just...

Kathy Clarich – You can't just move them around, you have to kick them out for 30 days.

Brian Tseng – What's that?

Kathy Clarich – You can't just move them around, they have to be out for 30 days before you can let

them back in.

Brian Tseng – So, are there any RV parks that get any of this waived? Is there like...

Adele Schaffeld – No.

Kathy Clarich – No.

Brian Tseng – How does it, how did these parks exist in my state, but they don't exist in your state?

Kathy Clarich – Well, the ones in our state, that don't kick them out, are in town. So, they're not out on the rural area. So, so it's a different set for, different set for rural than it is for being in town. So, here, here's what I am going to suggest to you. (inaudible) Here's what I'm going to suggest to you.

Brian Tseng – Generally, yes, right there. It's not that rural, there's a town – McDermitt,

Kathy Clarich – Hey, you can argue with me about it all. But I'm... I have to go by what's the law for the county. And at this point in time, we don't have enough to go on. And if you're going to change your plans and everything, and do things differently, then you need to come back to us with that.

Brian Tseng – Ok and I'm happy to. Honestly, my plans aren't going to be much different than what you're seeing: instead of tiny homes, I'm just going to switch it out to RV spots. I hope we can still discuss from there. But my question is from... you keep saying it's rural, but McDermitt is literally right there. It's just a border and an imaginary line.

Kathy Clarich – You can argue that point with the county if you want to, but it's rural, a rural service area. It's not like a city, it's not like a town or anything like that, it's actually rural, it is actually county's, um...

Tatiana Burgess – Jurisdiction.

Kathy Clarich – ... jurisdiction, not city's.

Brian Tseng – Is there any way to get waivers to get that changes? I mean, at least in the case of my RV spots, to let people stay for longer?

Adele Schaffeld – Not for life-safety issues.

Kathy Clarich – I think you need to have a meeting, with Adele, over here and the Planning Commission and work things out with them and see if there's anything you can come up with. But for right now, we can't do anything for you. So, we would, if we want to take a vote on it right now, we'd have to vote it down. I'm sorry, that's just the way it would have to be.

Brian Tseng – (inaudible)

Kathy Clarich – Because we have to have all the ducks in a row before we can even make a decision.

Brian Tseng – I understand that part. But my only question is, is how does one go about, how are you ever going to get housing in the area, if people have to get kicked out of their RV park every 30 days? That's not realistic. I just... (inaudible)

Carol Skerjanec – Mr. Tseng... Mr. Tseng, I think that you've argued all that you can on this point. And, I think that what the Planning Department and what the Building Department is saying is, they're going to be happy to work with you. Come to the meeting, see what things you can get worked out, and then come back to us with a workable plan and we'd be glad to consider it. I'm really pleased to do something, but right now as the Chairwoman has said, we really can't do anything with what you have in front of us.

Brian Tseng – Ok. I'll go back and redo... remove the tiny homes and I'll add a wash house. It's the only thing I'm going to do different. And then again, if I have to kick people out every 30 to 60 days, I'll let the Commission know that I'm happy to do that, and I can provide records of me doing that. If that's what I have to do to meet the legal requirements, I'm perfectly happy to do so.

Kathy Clarich – Ok. I think would be best at this time, is for us to table this, which gives you 30 days to come back and get all your ducks in a row and then we can see what we can do at that point in time. If you've got all your stuff and everything is ok, I can't guarantee that we're going to approve it, but we'll at least have something more to go by. And so, it'll be still left open for public testimony, because we're not doing any of that right now. And what's the date?

Tatian Burgess – 24th. August 24th.

Kathy Clarich – So, it will be August 24th at 7:30. And do any one of the commissioners want to give me a motion to table it?

Carol Skerjanec made a motion to table it till August 24th at 7:30. John Faw seconded the motion which was unanimously approved by the Commissioners present.

Kathy Clarich – So, you have 30, approximately 30 days, until our next planning meeting to get your stuff. And I would suggest that you have that meeting in person with these guys, and try to get things figured out

Adele Schaffeld – It could be a GoToMeeting, if we need to.

Brian Tseng – Absolutely, I'll be making a call to Planning tomorrow.

Kathy Clarich – Oh, she said you could do a GoTo, but sometimes, it's easier to do it in person so.

Adele Schaffeld – It is.

Brian Tseng – Sure, right. Actually, maybe I'll reach out to your office tomorrow as well.

Adele Schaffeld – I'm not in the office tomorrow so you can call Planning and Zoning and get it set up.

Brian Tseng – Planning and Zoning?

Adele Schaffeld – Yep.

Brian Tseng – Ok.

Kathy Clarich – Ok.

Adele Schaffeld – Thank you.

Kathy Clarich – Thank you for your time. (inaudible)

Clark Forsyth – Thanks.

Carol Skerjanec – Thank you.

Kathy Clarich – Ok, the next thing on our agenda, says, the June minutes. The approval of the June minutes. I had three corrections. I don't know if anybody else found anything they want to correct on it. I already gave it to Julie. Does anybody else have any corrections? If not, I need a motion.

Clark Forsyth made a motion to approve the minutes for the June 2023 meeting, with the corrections. Teresa Ballard seconded the motion which was unanimously approved by the Commissioners present.

Clark Forsyth made a motion to adjourn meeting. Teresa Ballard seconded motion which was unanimously approved by the Commissioners present.

Respectfully submitted, Julie Bromley.

Minutes approved by:

Name: 

Date: 8-28-2023